Modeling and Analyzing the Transmission of COVID-19 at GMU

Abstract

This research project is a mathematical model that characterizes the spread of COVID-19 on the GMU campus. It is based on the SEIAQR epidemic model and focuses on the unique situation at George Mason. We take into account the effects of university's preventative measures by analyzing extensions of the SEIAQR model and their reproduction numbers. From this, we can determine how effective the university's guidelines are and better understand the transmission of COVID-19 for this type of environment.

Introduction

An SIR model captures how disease is transmitted by categorizing the disease's tendencies and quantifying the population's reaction. To model the transmission of COVID-19 at GMU, we started our research with a basic SEIAQR epidemic model. Our final model (Extended Model 3), uniquely accounts for vaccination status, a/symptomatic infection, and three different types of quarantine behavior. As the population flows through each of these categories, we consider parameters specific Extended Model 3: SEIAQR with Vaccination Status to GMU such as high mask usage, quarantine disobedience, and random testing. Finally, we used MATLAB to graph the affect of these parameters and analyze how they affect the population.



$$\dot{S} = \frac{-kS}{N} * (I^{s} + I^{a})$$

$$\dot{E} = \frac{kS}{N} * (I^{s} - I^{a}) - iE$$

$$\dot{I^{a}} = (1 - p)iE - I^{a}r$$

$$\dot{I^{s}} = piE - I^{s}g - I^{s}r$$

$$\dot{Q} = I^{s}g - rQ$$

$$\dot{R} = r(I^{a} + I^{s} + Q)$$

Deriving the Basic Reproduction Number R_0 [1–2]	
$F = \frac{kS}{2}(I^s - I^a) V = (iF - (1 - p)iF + I^ar - piF + I^s\sigma + rI^s)$	R_0 for the Extended Models
$\Rightarrow F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & k & k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, V = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 & 0 \\ -(1-p)i & r & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	R_0 for Extension 1:
$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} -pi & 0 & r+g \end{bmatrix}$	$R_0 = R_0^1 + R_0^2 + R_0^3$
$\Rightarrow V^{-1} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{i} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{-(p-1)}{r} & \frac{1}{r} & 0\\ \frac{1}{r} & \frac{1}{r} & 0 \end{vmatrix} \Rightarrow F * V^{-1} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{kp}{g+r} - \frac{k(p-1)}{r} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$	$R_0^1 = \frac{(k(1-m)(1-p))}{t(1-c)+r}$
$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{p}{g+r} & 0 & \frac{1}{g+r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$R_0^2 = \frac{(kp(1-m))}{(m+k)(1-k)+k}$
R_0 for base model:	0 (g+t)(1-c)+r
$R_0 = R_0^1 + R_0^2$	$R_0^3 = \frac{(Xk(1-c)(1-m)(1-w)(t((g+t)(1-c)+r)+gpr))}{((1-c)+r)(1-w)(t((g+t)(1-c)+r)+gpr)}$
$R_0^1 = rac{kp}{g+r}$	(r(1-c)+r)((g+t)(1-c)+r))
$R_0^2 = \frac{\tilde{k}(1-p)}{r}$	$R_0 = \frac{(\kappa(1-m)(1-p))}{t(1-c)+r} + \frac{(\kappa p(1-m))}{(g+t)(1-c)+r} + \frac{(kp(1-m))}{(g+t)(1-c)+r}$
$R_0 = \frac{kp}{g+r} + \frac{k(1-p)}{r}$	$\frac{(Xk(1-c)(1-w)(1-w)(t((g+t)(1-c)+r)+gpr)}{(1-c)(1-c)(1-w)(1-w)(1-w)(1-w)(1-w)(1-w)(1-w)(1-w$
	(r(1-c)+r)((g+t)(1-c)+r))

Clarissa Benitez, Krista Cimbalista, Jolypich Pek, Raina Saha Dr. Padhu Seshaiyer



December 3rd, 2021



R_0	f <mark>or Extensi</mark>
R_0 =	$= R_0^1 + R_0^2 +$
R_{0}^{1} :	$= \frac{(k(1-m)(1-m))}{t(1-c)+r}$
R^2	(kp(1-m))
<u> </u>	(g+t)(1-c)+
R_0^3 :	$= \frac{(r_{kp}(g+t))(1+1)}{(r_{qs}((g+1))(1+1))}$
R_0^4 :	$=\frac{(Xkt(1-c)(1-c))}{(r-c)}$
D	(r_{qa})
N0 -	$\frac{-(t(1-c)+r_a)}{(s+t)(1-c)(1-c)}$
<u>(</u>	$\frac{(g+t)(1-c)(1-t)}{(r_{qs}((g+t)(1-c)))}$





- $\dot{S} = \frac{-k(1-m)S}{N}(I^s + I^a + XQ^s)$ • $\dot{E} = \frac{k(1-m)S}{N}(I^s + I^a + XQ^s) - iE$ • $I^{a} = (1 - p)iE - tI^{a}r(1 - c) - rI^{a}$ • $I^{s} = piE - (t+g)I^{s}(1-c) - rI^{s}$ • $\dot{Q} = w(1-c)(I^s(t+g)+I^at-rQ)$ • $Q^{s} = (1 - w)(1 - c)(I^{s}(t + g) + I^{a}t) - rQ^{s}$
- $\dot{S} = \frac{-k(1-m)S}{N}(I^s + I^a + XQ^{qa} + YQ^{qs})$ • $\dot{E} = \frac{k(1-m)S}{N}(I^{s} + I^{a} + XQ^{s} + YQ^{qs}) - iE$ • $I^{a} = (1 - p)iE - tI^{a}r(1 - c) - r_{a}I^{a}$ • $I^{s} = piE - (t+g)I^{s}(1-c) - r_{s}I^{s}$ • $\dot{Q} = w_a t l^a (1-c) + w_s t l^s (t+g)(1-c) - r_q Q$ • $Q^{qa} = t I^{a} (1 - w_{a})(1 - c) - r_{qa} Q^{qa}$ • $Q^{qs} = I^s (1 - w_s)(1 - c)(t + g) - r_{qs}Q^{qs}$ • $R = r_{as}Q^{qs} + r_{aa}Q^{qa} + r_aQ + r_sI^s + r_aI^a$
- $S = npE u_{s1}S u_{s2}S u_{s3}S$ • $\dot{A} = n(1-p)E - u_{a1}A - u_{a2}A - u_{a3}A$ • $Q_1 = u_{a1}A + u_{s1}S + u_{a1}A1 + u_{s1}S1 - c_1Q1$ • $Q^2 = u_{a2}A + u_{s2}S + u_{a2}A1 + u_{s2}S1 - c_1Q2$ • $Q3 = u_{a3}A + u_{s3}S + u_{a3}A1 + u_{s3}S1 - c_1Q3$ • $S_1 = nqE1 - \tilde{u_{s1}}S1 - \tilde{u_{s2}}S1 - \tilde{u_{s3}}S1$ • $A1 = n(1-q)E1 - u_{a1}A1 - u_{a2}A1 - u_{a3}A1$
 - ion 2: $-R_0^3 + R_0^4$ $-c)(1-m)(1-w_s))$ $(t-t)(1-c)+r_s))$ $(-m)(1-p)(1-w_a))$ $t(1-c)+r_a$ (kp(1-m)) $(g+t)(1-c)+r_s$ $(1-w_s)) = (Xkt(1-c)(1-m)(1-p)(1-w_a))$ $(r_{qa}(t(1-c)+r_{a}))$
- **Computational Results** (Left) As quarantine disobedience i percentage of the susceptible pop (Left) SEIAQR with Sen Conclusion preventative measures. **Future Work** • We would like to 3D print Model 3's solution

References [1]Brauer, F., Castillo-Chavez, C. C. Castillo-Chavez, Mathematical models in population biology and epidemiology, Vol. 2, Springer, 2012. [2] Diekmann, O., Heesterbeek, J. A. P., Metz, J.A., On the definition and the135 computation of the basic reproduction ratio r 0 in models for infectious diseases in heterogeneous populations, Journal of mathematical biology 28 (4) (1990) 365-382. [3] Kermack, W. O., and McKendrick, A. G. (1927). A contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, 115(772), 700-721.

ence on Peak		Efficacy of Mask Usage on Peak Infection
Model 2 70.0% 80.0% 90.0% 100.0% ce		400 350 300 250 150 50 0 0.0% 10.0% 20.0% 30.0% 40.0% 50.0% 60.0% 70.0% 80.0% 90.0% 100.0%
s increased, thus u and u	ne peak ir masked,	index oblige infection rate increases. (Right) Increasing m, the resulted in the peak infection count decreasing Disease Dynamics of Model 2 $\frac{1}{40}$
4 284 325 370 421 480 555 658		$ \begin{array}{c} 33 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $
ni Quarantine.	(Right)	SEIAQR with Expanded Quarantine.

Here we have introduced three new mathematical models for understanding the transmission of COVID-19 within a university campus environment. The basic reproduction number for each the models have been computed and they each tell us that it is unlikely for an outbreak to occur in this environment. The efficacy of our parameters has been computationally studied and from that we know that the university's preventative measures are working.

• The reproduction number for Model 2 is .02015, which indicates that an outbreak is very unlikely. In order to reduce the likelihood of an outbreak even further, mitigation strategies such as mask use should be increased and quarantine disobedience should be decreased.

• Understanding the effects of each of these strategies will inform other Universities how the guidelines that GMU has implemented would affect the transmission of COVID-19 and allow them to enforce their own

• Model 3 will account for quarantine affecting the susceptible population

• An application will be developed to study our parameters in more detail • Networking and proximity will be better accounted for